

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and that I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: AUTOMATIC THERAPY ADJUSTMENTS

The specification of which

- a. ☒ is attached hereto
 b. ☐ was filed on as application serial no. and was amended on (if applicable) or filed and as amended on (if any),
 c. ☐ was (in the case of a PCT-filed application) described and claimed in international no. which I have reviewed and for which I solicit a United States patent.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attached hereto).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

- a. ☒ no such applications have been filed.
 b. ☐ such applications have been filed as follows:

FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLICATION(S)			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)

I hereby claim the benefit of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS
60/503,218	15 September 2003	

I hereby appoint Practitioners at Customer Number 28863



28863
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

and further appoint the following Practitioners:

Thomas G. Berry	Reg. No. 31,736	Girma Wolde-Michael	Reg. No. 36,724
Daniel G. Chapik	Reg. No. 43,424	John Albrecht	Reg. No. 40,481
Stephen W. Bauer	Reg. No. 32,192	Elisabeth Belden	Reg. No. 50,751
James J. Bindseil	Reg. No. 42,326	Kenneth Collier	Reg. No. 34,982
Daniel Greeninger	Reg. No. 48,464	James R. Keogh	Reg. No. 44,824
Daniel W. Latham	Reg. No. 30,401	Curtis D. Kinghorn	Reg. No. 33,926
Paul McDowall	Reg. No. 34,873	Catherine C. Maresh	Reg. No. 35,268
Thomas F. Woods	Reg. No. 36,726	Mark E. O'Connor	Reg. No. 40,108
Richard Yoon	Reg. No. 42,247	Michael Soldner	Reg. No. 41,455

as my/our attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Steven J. Shumaker
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.
8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105
St. Paul, Minnesota 55125
Telephone: 651.735.1100
Facsimile: 651.735.1102

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name Singhal	First Given Name Ruchika	Second Given Name
Residence & Citizenship	City Minneapolis	State or Foreign Country Minnesota	Country of Citizenship India
Post Office Address	Post Office Address 17 South First St. Apt A1005	City Minneapolis	State & Zip Code/Country MN 55401/USA
Signature of Inventor: <i>Ruchika Singhal</i>			Date: October 13, 2003

Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name Skime	First Given Name Robert	Second Given Name M.
Residence & Citizenship	City Coon Rapids	State or Foreign Country Minnesota	Country of Citizenship United States of America
Post Office Address	Post Office Address 2357 132nd Avenue NW	City Coon Rapids	State & Zip Code/Country MN 55448/USA
Signature of Inventor: <i>Robert M. Skime</i>			Date: 13 Oct 03

Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name Jensen	First Given Name Steven	Second Given Name L.
Residence & Citizenship	City Andover	State or Foreign Country Minnesota	Country of Citizenship United States of America
Post Office Address	Post Office Address 2301 S. Coon Creek Dr.	City Andover	State & Zip Code/Country MN 55304/USA
Signature of Inventor: <i>Steven L. Jensen</i>			Date: 13 Oct 03

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim;

or

- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.